

Vehicle mobility data analysis and Individual Mobility Networks for crash prediction



Leonardo Longhi



Work funded by:



Big Data for Mobility Tracking Knowledge Extraction in Urban Areas

EU H2020 G.A. 780754

Crash risk prediction

- **Context:** insurance companies
 - Base their business on evaluating the expected costs (for the company) of a client
 - Cost is a function of client's risk of suffering an accident/loss
 - Specific to car insurance: cost ~ crash risk in the next term

- **Problem definition**: given the recent mobility history of a user predict whether he/she will have a crash in the next future
 - E.g. predict crashes in the next month based on data of the last 4





State of the Art

Mainly based on

- Real Time Crash Prediction
- Contextual conditions (weather, traffic intensity)

Our approach:

- Individual, medium-term prediction (e.g. 1 month)
- Based on several features extracted from the history of the individual





The approach

• Machine Learning approach: directly learn from past crashes





I-CiTies 2019

. . .

Events Features

Accelerations Breaks Cornering

Zig-zag

Mini-stops

Short engine switch-offs









Individual Mobility Network

Graph abstraction of the overall mobility of the individual based on locations (nodes) and movements (edges).







Individual Mobility Network

I-CiTies 2019

Graph abstraction of the overall mobility of the individual based on locations (nodes) and movements (edges).









Edges

Node frequency

IMN Features: network measures

Edge frequency

Indegree

Outdegree

Clustering Coefficient

Entropy

. . .





IMN Features: ON GOING WORK



- Compute features focused on parts of IMN
 - on frequent locations (in-flow)
 - along frequent movements
- Measure how much the IMN changes in time
 - Might reflect changes in habits or mobility needs





- Characterize what kind of areas I cross/stay in
 - Measure through mobility information on the areas aggregated over all the vehicles (e.g. traffic density)





Preliminary performance tests

- Various tree-based classification algorithms tested
- Promising yet to improve results
 - Moderately good precision (up to 48%)
 - Low recall (up to 6%)

I-CiTies 2019

- Low F1-score (up to 0.098)
- Moderately good AUC(ROC)





Spatial distribution of prediction (period = June)





Next steps on crash prediction

- Features & model refinements to improve performances, especially recall
 - Work on developing richer IMNs
 - Integrate information from other sources (e.g. weather and POI enrichment)
- Test model geographical transferability at various scales
 - Problem: how to adapt a model built in area A in order to work well in area B?
- From predictions to prescriptive rules
 - Explainable AI !



THANK YOU!

Mirco Nanni mirco.nanni@isti.cnr.it ISTI-CNR, Italy





